

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/647,278	HOCK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ruixiang Li	1646	

All Participants:

(1) Ruixiang Li.

(2) Thomas D. Webster.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ruixiang Li
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The limitation of claim 35, "wherein said vitamin D is not a hydroxylated vitamin D or hydroxylated vitamin D metabolite", was discussed. Applicants disagreed that this limitation introduces new matter. Nonetheless, Applicants agreed to amend the claim to delete this limitation. Applicant also agreed to pay the issue fee and publication fee within three months from the mailing date of the notice of allowance, i.e. 05/11/2005.